

Mr. Speaker, I yield such time as he may consume to the gentleman from Pennsylvania (Mr. GOODLING).

Mr. GOODLING. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman for yielding me this time.

I wanted to point out that, as a matter of fact, the resolution that I am bringing here today has been around for at least 2 weeks, and the fine-tuning of the statistics were in the hands of the Democrats as of 6 o'clock last evening.

But the fine-tuning from statistics really does not amount to anything anyway because the resolution simply says, if this Congress is going to discuss child care, they will discuss it in relationship to all children. It does not tell how they should do it. It just says, since 70 percent of preschool children are not in a formal day care setting, we should also think about the parents of those 70 percent.

So even if we fine tuned the statistics, it does not matter because the resolution simply states that if the Congress is going to consider child care in this particular session, it should consider all children, it should consider all parents. The resolution is that simple.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from New York (Mr. SOLOMON) has 20½ minutes remaining, and the gentlewoman from New York (Ms. SLAUGHTER) has 19½ minutes remaining.

Ms. SLAUGHTER. Mr. Speaker, I have no more speakers, and I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. SOLOMON. Mr. Speaker, that is what I have always admired about the gentlewoman from New York. She gets the job done in a hurry, and I appreciate that. And, therefore, I am not going to let her outdo me. I am going to get the job done, too.

So, I yield back the balance of my time, and I move the previous question on the resolution.

The previous question was ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the resolution.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

Ms. SLAUGHTER. Mr. Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and nays.

The yeas and nays were ordered.

Mr. SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 5 of rule I, further proceedings on this resolution are postponed until 5 p.m.

#### THE PRESIDENT'S PROPOSED NEW TAX INCREASES

(Mr. SOLOMON asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Without objection, the gentleman from New York is recognized for 1 minute.

There was no objection.

Mr. SOLOMON. Mr. Speaker, I will not bother taking the well, but I just wanted to say that last week President

Clinton proposed a budget with \$106 billion of new tax increases in it.

While all taxes punish personal thrift and freedom, the President's proposal to raise taxes on financial products which encourage long-term investment and savings are particularly ill-conceived.

It is incredible that the President, who is fully aware of the impending crisis in Social Security, would propose to hike taxes on the products that American families and businesses use to plan for their own retirements. Millions of American families use this very life insurance product to save for retirement, adding to the supplemental Social Security check that they might receive.

Mr. Speaker, surveys show that many moderate-income families use private sector retirement products such as annuities to plan for their future. In fact, many of the owners of annuities are women. They are women, 55 percent of whom are married, while 28 percent of them are widowed.

□ 1630

They are the people that control most of these small annuities in America.

The President proposes to increase the tax burden on these same annuities—annuities that 85% of the owners intend to use as the fundamental source of their retirement savings. Why should government discourage these families from saving their money?

Mr. Speaker, this is an irresponsible and ill-advised proposal for the many Americans struggling to get by and yet still plan for the future.

I urge my colleagues to reject President Clinton's tax increases on America's families and their future. The future of the American family deserves better.

#### EDUCATING AMERICA ON COLORECTAL CANCER

(Ms. SLAUGHTER asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend her remarks.)

Ms. SLAUGHTER. Mr. Speaker, today I filed a resolution with 20 of my cosponsors, a bipartisan resolution, connected with colorectal cancer. We have spent a lot of time in the House talking about breast cancer and other forms of cancer and how important it is to be screened, but we have neglected colorectal cancer.

Mr. Speaker, in my State of New York, we are ninth in the number of fatalities. We have 55,000 people that die each year from an absolutely curable or preventable disease.

We think it is terribly important. We have asked Secretary Shalala of HHS if they will help formulate an educational process for both medical professionals and their patients to make sure Americans are screened for this disease. It is terribly important for women, because women have a feeling that this is a man's disease, but it is an equal-opportunity killer. We have some

Members of this House who are recovering from colorectal cancer who are sponsoring this bill, and I invite all my colleagues to join us in what I think is one of the most important health issues facing America. This disease is over 92 percent preventable. No one need die from colorectal cancer. It is up to us to educate.

#### RECESS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 12 of rule I, the Chair declares the House in recess until approximately 5 p.m.

Accordingly (at 4 o'clock and 32 minutes p.m.), the House stood in recess until approximately 5 p.m.

□ 1701

#### AFTER RECESS

The recess having expired, the House was called to order by the Speaker pro tempore (Mr. UPTON) at 5 o'clock and 1 minute p.m.

#### PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF MOTIONS TO SUSPEND THE RULES

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The pending business is the question of agreeing to House Resolution 352, on which the yeas and nays are ordered.

The Clerk read the title of the resolution.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the resolution.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 217, nays 191, not voting 22, as follows:

[Roll No. 12]

YEAS—217

Aderholt	Combest	Goodling
Archer	Cook	Goss
Armey	Cooksey	Graham
Bachus	Cox	Granger
Baker	Crane	Greenwood
Ballenger	Crapo	Gutknecht
Barr	Cubin	Hall (TX)
Barrett (NE)	Cunningham	Hamilton
Bartlett	Davis (VA)	Hansen
Barton	Deal	Hastert
Bass	DeLay	Hastings (WA)
Bateman	Dickey	Hayworth
Bereuter	Dreier	Hefley
Bilbray	Duncan	Herger
Bilirakis	Dunn	Hill
Bliley	Ehlers	Hilleary
Blunt	Ehrlich	Hobson
Boehlert	Emerson	Hoekstra
Boehner	English	Horn
Bonilla	Ensign	Hostettler
Brady	Everett	Houghton
Bryant	Ewing	Hulshof
Bunning	Fawell	Hunter
Burr	Foley	Hutchinson
Burton	Forbes	Hyde
Buyer	Fossella	Inglis
Calvert	Fowler	Istook
Camp	Fox	Jenkins
Campbell	Franks (NJ)	Johnson (CT)
Canady	Frelinghuysen	Johnson, Sam
Cannon	Gallegly	Jones
Castle	Ganske	Kasich
Chabot	Gekas	Kelly
Chambliss	Gibbons	Kim
Chenoweth	Gilchrest	King (NY)
Christensen	Gillmor	Kingston
Coble	Gilman	Klug
Coburn	Goode	Knollenberg
Collins	Goodlatte	Kolbe